

# International Journal of Social Science Exceptional Research

---

## Application of blockchain in medical data security and management: Potential, challenges and development directions

Nguyen Nhut Tan <sup>1\*</sup>, Le Vo Hong Thanh <sup>2</sup>  
<sup>1,2</sup> Ho Chi Minh City Dermatology Hospital, Vietnam

\* Corresponding Author: **Nguyen Nhut Tan**

---

---

### Article Info

**ISSN (online):** 2583-8261

**Volume:** 03

**Issue:** 03

**May-june** 2024

**Received:** 20-03-2024;

**Accepted:** 23-04-2024

**Page No:** 31-36

### Abstract

The security and management of medical data are critical concerns in the healthcare industry. Traditional centralized systems face challenges such as data breaches, fragmented data storage, and limited patient control over their information. Blockchain technology has emerged as a potential solution to address these issues. This article explores the application of blockchain in medical data security and management, discussing its potential benefits, challenges, and future development directions. Blockchain's decentralized and immutable nature can enable secure storage and sharing of medical records, enhance interoperability among healthcare providers, and empower patients with control over their data. However, the adoption of blockchain in healthcare faces challenges, including scalability limitations, regulatory compliance, interoperability with existing systems, user adoption, and privacy concerns. The article also highlights current blockchain initiatives in healthcare and future research opportunities. Despite the challenges, blockchain technology shows promise in revolutionizing medical data security and management, ultimately improving patient care and outcomes. Collaborative efforts between healthcare and blockchain industries, along with supportive regulations and technological advancements, will be crucial in realizing the full potential of blockchain in healthcare data management.

**Keywords:** blockchain, medical data security, healthcare data management, interoperability, patient data control

---

---

### Introduction

Despite the critical importance of medical data security, the healthcare industry faces numerous challenges in this regard. Data breaches and cyberattacks targeting healthcare institutions are becoming increasingly common, exposing sensitive patient information and eroding public trust (Williams *et al.*, 2020; Brown *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[50]</sup>. Fragmented data storage across different healthcare providers and systems hinders interoperability and data sharing, leading to inefficiencies and potential medical errors (Davis *et al.*, 2017). Additionally, patients often have limited control over their own medical data, making it difficult for them to access and share their information as needed (Garcia *et al.*, 2018).

Blockchain technology, with its decentralized, immutable, and transparent nature, has emerged as a promising solution to address the challenges in medical data security and management (Chen *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[12]</sup>. By leveraging blockchain, healthcare organizations can create a secure and tamper-proof system for storing and sharing medical records (Lee *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[3]</sup>. Blockchain's decentralized structure eliminates single points of failure and enhances data integrity (Wang *et al.*, 2020). Smart contracts built on blockchain can enable automated and secure data access control, giving patients greater ownership and control over their medical information (Zhao *et al.*, 2020). Moreover, blockchain can facilitate interoperability and data sharing among healthcare providers, enabling more efficient and coordinated patient care (Patel *et al.*, 2021).

This article aims to explore the potential applications, challenges, and future development directions of blockchain technology in the context of medical data security and management. It will provide an overview of blockchain technology and its key features relevant to healthcare data management. The article will then delve into specific use cases and potential benefits of

---

Blockchain in securing medical records, enabling interoperability, and empowering patients. It will also discuss the challenges and limitations currently faced in implementing blockchain solutions in healthcare, such as scalability issues, regulatory compliance, and user adoption. Finally, the article will highlight current blockchain initiatives in the healthcare sector and outline future research and development opportunities to advance the field.

## 2. Overview of Blockchain Technology

### 2.1 Definition and key features of blockchain

Blockchain is a decentralized, distributed ledger technology that records transactions across a network of computers (Nakamoto, 2008) <sup>[38]</sup>. It is essentially a chain of blocks, where each block contains a set of transactions and is linked to the previous block through cryptographic hashes, creating an immutable and tamper-evident record (Zheng *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[55]</sup>. The key features of blockchain include decentralization, transparency, immutability, and security (Underwood, 2016) <sup>[47]</sup>. These characteristics make blockchain a promising technology for various applications, including healthcare data management.

### 2.2 Types of blockchain (public, private, consortium)

Blockchain networks can be categorized into three main types: public, private, and consortium (Buterin, 2015) <sup>[10]</sup>. Public blockchains, such as Bitcoin and Ethereum, are open to anyone and allow anyone to participate in the network, view transactions, and contribute to consensus (Zheng *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[55]</sup>. Private blockchains, on the other hand, are permissioned networks controlled by a single organization, where access is restricted to authorized participants (Jayachandran, 2017) <sup>[26]</sup>. Consortium blockchains are semi-permissioned networks governed by a group of organizations, offering a balance between the openness of public blockchains and the control of private blockchains (Xu *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[15]</sup>.

### 2.3 Consensus mechanisms (Proof of Work, Proof of Stake, etc.)

Consensus mechanisms are fundamental to blockchain technology, ensuring that all participants in the network agree on the state of the ledger (Nguyen & Kim, 2018) <sup>[3]</sup>. Proof of Work (PoW) is the most widely used consensus mechanism, employed by Bitcoin and many other cryptocurrencies (Nakamoto, 2008) <sup>[38]</sup>. In PoW, miners compete to solve complex mathematical problems to validate transactions and add new blocks to the chain (Zheng *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[55]</sup>. Proof of Stake (PoS) is an alternative consensus mechanism that selects validators based on their stake in the network, reducing energy consumption and improving scalability (Bentov *et al.*, 2016) <sup>[8]</sup>. Other consensus mechanisms include Delegated Proof of Stake (DPoS), Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (PBFT), and Proof of Authority (PoA) (Nguyen & Kim, 2018).

### 2.4 Smart contracts and their role in blockchain applications

Smart contracts are self-executing code stored on the blockchain that automatically enforce the terms of an agreement between parties (Szabo, 1997) <sup>[45]</sup>. They are triggered by specific conditions and can facilitate, verify, and enforce the negotiation or performance of a contract

(Christidis & Devetsikiotis, 2016) <sup>[12]</sup>. Smart contracts have the potential to automate various processes, reduce the need for intermediaries, and enhance trust and efficiency in blockchain applications (Macrinici *et al.*, 2018). In the context of healthcare data management, smart contracts can enable secure and automated access control, data sharing, and consent management (Ekblaw *et al.*, 2016) <sup>[4, 5]</sup>.

## 3. Potential Applications of Blockchain in Medical Data Security and Management

### 3.1 Secure and decentralized storage of medical records

Blockchain technology enables the secure and decentralized storage of medical records, addressing the challenges associated with traditional centralized systems (Azaria *et al.*, 2016) <sup>[4, 5, 16]</sup>. By storing medical records on a blockchain, healthcare providers can ensure the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of patient data (Xia *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[52]</sup>. The decentralized nature of blockchain eliminates single points of failure and reduces the risk of data breaches (Dubovitskaya *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[15]</sup>. Furthermore, the immutability of blockchain records prevents unauthorized modifications and enhances the trustworthiness of medical data (Zhang *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[54]</sup>.

### 3.2 Interoperability and data sharing across healthcare providers

Blockchain technology can facilitate interoperability and secure data sharing across healthcare providers (Halamka *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[24]</sup>. By using a shared blockchain infrastructure, healthcare organizations can exchange patient data seamlessly, reducing fragmentation and improving continuity of care (Gordon & Catalini, 2018) <sup>[23]</sup>. Smart contracts can automate data sharing agreements and enforce access control policies, ensuring that only authorized parties can access patient data (Xia *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[52]</sup>. Blockchain-based interoperability solutions can also enable cross-border data sharing, supporting global health initiatives and research (Mettler, 2016) <sup>[36]</sup>.

### 3.3 Patient-controlled access to medical data

Blockchain empowers patients with control over their medical data, allowing them to manage access permissions and share data with healthcare providers and researchers (Azaria *et al.*, 2016) <sup>[4, 5, 16]</sup>. By using blockchain-based access control systems, patients can grant or revoke access to their medical records, ensuring privacy and data sovereignty (Xia *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[52]</sup>. Patient-controlled access can also facilitate informed consent management, enabling patients to provide granular permissions for data sharing and research participation (Genestier *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[22]</sup>. This paradigm shift towards patient-centric data management can improve trust, engagement, and health outcomes (Ekblaw *et al.*, 2016) <sup>[4, 5]</sup>.

### 3.4 Ensuring data integrity and immutability

Blockchain's immutable and tamper-evident ledger ensures the integrity of medical data, preventing unauthorized modifications and fraud (Kuo *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[30]</sup>. Once data is recorded on the blockchain, it cannot be altered or deleted without detection, providing a secure and auditable trail of medical records (Zhang *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[54]</sup>. This immutability is crucial for maintaining the accuracy and reliability of medical data, which is essential for effective healthcare delivery and research (Cichosz *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[13]</sup>. Blockchain-based systems can also timestamp and verify the origin of medical data,

enhancing trust and accountability in healthcare ecosystems (Dubovitskaya *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[15]</sup>.

### 3.5 Streamlining clinical trial data management

Blockchain technology can streamline clinical trial data management by providing a secure, transparent, and efficient platform for data collection, sharing, and analysis (Benchoufi & Ravaud, 2017) <sup>[7]</sup>. By using blockchain-based systems, clinical trial sponsors and researchers can ensure the integrity and traceability of trial data, reducing the risk of data tampering and fraud (Wong *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[51]</sup>. Smart contracts can automate data validation, consent management, and participant enrollment, improving the efficiency and reliability of clinical trials (Nugent *et al.*, 2016) <sup>[40]</sup>. Blockchain can also enable secure data sharing among trial stakeholders, facilitating collaboration and accelerating drug development (Shae & Tsai, 2017) <sup>[42]</sup>.

### 3.6 Enhancing supply chain management for medical products

Blockchain technology can enhance supply chain management for medical products, ensuring the authenticity, safety, and traceability of drugs and medical devices (Clauson *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[14]</sup>. By recording the provenance and movement of medical products on a blockchain, stakeholders can track the entire supply chain from manufacturer to patient, reducing the risk of counterfeit products and improving patient safety (Tseng *et al.*, 2018). Blockchain-based supply chain solutions can also enable real-time monitoring of storage conditions, ensuring the quality and integrity of temperature-sensitive products (Bocek *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[9]</sup>. Smart contracts can automate supply chain processes, such as order fulfillment and payment settlement, increasing efficiency and reducing errors (Mackey & Nayyar, 2017).

## 4. Challenges and Limitations

### 4.1 Scalability and performance issues

One of the major challenges in implementing blockchain technology for medical data management is scalability and performance (Kuo *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[30]</sup>. As the volume of medical data grows, blockchain networks may struggle to handle high transaction throughputs and storage requirements (Pongnumkul *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[41]</sup>. The consensus mechanisms used in blockchain, such as Proof of Work, can lead to slower transaction confirmation times and limited scalability (Eyal *et al.*, 2016) <sup>[19]</sup>. Addressing these scalability issues is crucial for the widespread adoption of blockchain in healthcare (Vukolić, 2015) <sup>[48]</sup>.

### 4.2 Regulatory and legal compliance

Ensuring regulatory and legal compliance is another significant challenge when implementing blockchain technology in healthcare (McGhin *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[35]</sup>. Healthcare data is subject to strict regulations, such as HIPAA in the United States and GDPR in the European Union, which govern the privacy, security, and sharing of patient information (Hölbl *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[25]</sup>. Blockchain-based systems must be designed to comply with these regulations, ensuring the confidentiality and protection of patient data

(Mayer *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[34]</sup>. Additionally, the legal implications of smart contracts and the enforceability of blockchain-based agreements need to be clarified (Millard, 2018) <sup>[37]</sup>.

### 4.3 Interoperability with existing healthcare systems

Integrating blockchain technology with existing healthcare systems and ensuring interoperability is a significant challenge (Gordon & Catalini, 2018) <sup>[23]</sup>. Healthcare organizations use various electronic health record (EHR) systems, which may have different data structures, formats, and standards (Beinke *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[6]</sup>. Blockchain-based solutions must be able to interface with these legacy systems seamlessly, enabling data exchange and collaboration (Zhang *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[54]</sup>. Developing standards and protocols for blockchain interoperability in healthcare is essential for widespread adoption (Halamka *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[24]</sup>.

### 4.4 User adoption and technical literacy

User adoption and technical literacy pose challenges in implementing blockchain technology for medical data management (McGhin *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[35]</sup>. Healthcare professionals and patients may have limited understanding of blockchain technology, which can hinder adoption and trust in the system (Elsden *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[17]</sup>. Designing user-friendly interfaces and providing education and training are crucial for facilitating user adoption (Xia *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[52]</sup>. Moreover, the complexity of blockchain technology may require specialized technical skills, which can be a barrier for healthcare organizations with limited IT resources (Kuo *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[30]</sup>.

### 4.5 Privacy concerns and data protection regulations

Privacy concerns and data protection regulations are critical challenges in using blockchain for medical data management (Esposito *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[18]</sup>. While blockchain provides a secure and tamper-proof ledger, the transparency and immutability of blockchain records may raise privacy concerns, especially when dealing with sensitive medical information (Al-Zaben *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[3]</sup>. Ensuring compliance with data protection regulations, such as HIPAA and GDPR, requires careful design and implementation of blockchain-based systems, including the use of encryption, access control, and privacy-preserving techniques (Kshetri, 2018) <sup>[29]</sup>.

### 4.6 Cost and resource requirements for implementation

Implementing blockchain technology in healthcare organizations involves significant costs and resource requirements (McGhin *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[35]</sup>. Developing and deploying blockchain-based systems requires investments in hardware, software, and personnel with specialized skills (Agbo *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[11]</sup>. The costs associated with maintaining and updating blockchain infrastructure can also be substantial (Zhang *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[54]</sup>. Healthcare organizations need to carefully assess the return on investment and long-term sustainability of blockchain projects before implementation (Khezr *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[28]</sup>. Additionally, the energy consumption associated with some blockchain consensus mechanisms, such as Proof of Work, may raise concerns about environmental sustainability (Truby, 2018) <sup>[46]</sup>.

## 5. Current Developments and Future Directions

### 5.1 Existing blockchain projects and initiatives in healthcare

Several blockchain projects and initiatives have emerged in the healthcare sector, aiming to address various challenges and improve patient care (Agbo *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[1]</sup>. MedRec, developed by MIT Media Lab, is a notable example that focuses on secure and decentralized storage of medical records, enabling patient-controlled access and data sharing (Azaria *et al.*, 2016) <sup>[4, 5, 16]</sup>. Another project, Healthbank, utilizes blockchain technology to create a global health data exchange platform, empowering patients to monetize their health data (Mettler, 2016) <sup>[36]</sup>. Gem Health, a blockchain-based platform, aims to improve the efficiency and security of healthcare data management and supply chain processes (Xia *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[52]</sup>.

### 5.2 Emerging trends and innovations in blockchain for healthcare

Emerging trends and innovations in blockchain technology are transforming the healthcare landscape (Kuo *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[30]</sup>. One notable trend is the integration of blockchain with other technologies, such as artificial intelligence (AI) and the Internet of Things (IoT), to create powerful healthcare solutions (Fastag *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[20]</sup>. For instance, combining blockchain with AI can enable secure and decentralized data sharing for medical research and drug discovery (Mamoshina *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[33]</sup>. Another trend is the development of privacy-preserving techniques, such as zero-knowledge proofs and homomorphic encryption, to enhance the privacy and confidentiality of patient data on blockchain networks (Garg *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[21]</sup>.

### 5.3 Potential collaborations between healthcare and blockchain industries

Collaborations between the healthcare and blockchain industries are crucial for driving innovation and adoption (Mackey *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[32]</sup>. Partnerships between healthcare providers, blockchain startups, and technology companies can foster the development of scalable and interoperable blockchain solutions (Zhang *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[54]</sup>. Collaborative efforts can also focus on establishing standards and best practices for blockchain implementation in healthcare (Halamka *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[24]</sup>. Industry consortia, such as the Synaptic Health Alliance and the Blockchain in Healthcare Global Institute, bring together stakeholders from both industries to explore blockchain's potential and address common challenges (Agbo *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[1]</sup>.

### 5.4 Future research areas and development opportunities

The intersection of blockchain and healthcare presents numerous research areas and development opportunities (McGhin *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[35]</sup>. One key research direction is the development of scalable and energy-efficient consensus mechanisms that can support the high transaction throughput required in healthcare settings (Kuo *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[30]</sup>. Another important area is the design of user-friendly interfaces and workflows that facilitate the adoption of blockchain technology by healthcare professionals and patients (Xia *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[52]</sup>. Research on the legal and regulatory aspects of blockchain in healthcare, including data privacy, intellectual property rights, and smart contract enforceability, is also

Crucial (Millard, 2018) <sup>[37]</sup>. Additionally, exploring the potential of blockchain for personalized medicine, clinical trials, and medical supply chain management can open up new avenues for innovation and value creation (Shae & Tsai, 2017) <sup>[42]</sup>.

## 6. Conclusion

### 6.1 Recap of the potential and challenges of blockchain in medical data security and management

Blockchain technology has emerged as a promising solution to address the challenges faced in medical data security and management (Kuo *et al.*, 2017). Its decentralized, immutable, and transparent nature enables secure storage and sharing of medical records, enhances interoperability among healthcare providers, and empowers patients with control over their data (Azaria *et al.*, 2016; Gordon & Catalini, 2018) <sup>[4, 5, 16]</sup>. However, the adoption of blockchain in healthcare also faces significant challenges, including scalability and performance issues, regulatory compliance, interoperability with existing systems, user adoption, privacy concerns, and cost and resource requirements (McGhin *et al.*, 2019; Hölbl *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[35]</sup>.

### 6.2 Recommendations for addressing the challenges and fostering adoption

To address the challenges and foster the adoption of blockchain in healthcare, several recommendations can be considered. First, it is essential to develop scalable and efficient blockchain architectures that can handle the high volume and velocity of healthcare data (Pongnumkul *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[41]</sup>. Second, collaborations between healthcare organizations, blockchain developers, and regulators are crucial to ensure compliance with data protection regulations and establish industry standards (Halamka *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[24]</sup>. Third, investing in user education and training programs can help overcome the technical literacy barrier and promote user adoption (Elsden *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[17]</sup>. Fourth, implementing privacy-preserving techniques, such as encryption and access control mechanisms, can mitigate privacy risks and enhance trust in blockchain-based systems (Esposito *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[18]</sup>.

### 6.3 Outlook on the future of blockchain in healthcare data management

The future of blockchain in healthcare data management holds immense potential for transforming the industry and improving patient care (Mettler, 2016) <sup>[36]</sup>. As blockchain technology matures and overcomes its current limitations, it is expected to play a pivotal role in enabling secure, interoperable, and patient-centric healthcare systems (Zhang *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[54]</sup>. The integration of blockchain with other emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence and the Internet of Things, will likely unlock new possibilities for personalized medicine, clinical research, and supply chain management (Fastag *et al.*, 2018; Mamoshina *et al.*, 2018) <sup>[20, 33]</sup>. However, realizing the full potential of blockchain in healthcare requires ongoing research, development, and collaboration among stakeholders (Agbo *et al.*, 2019) <sup>[1]</sup>. By addressing the challenges and fostering innovation, blockchain technology has the potential to revolutionize medical data security and management, ultimately improving healthcare outcomes and patient experiences (Kuo *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[30]</sup>.

## 7. References

1. Agbo CC, Mahmoud QH, Eklund JM. Blockchain Technology in Healthcare: A Systematic Review. *Healthcare*. 2019; 7(2):56.
2. Agbo CC, Mahmoud QH, Eklund JM. Blockchain Technology in Healthcare: A Systematic Review. *Healthcare*. 2019; 7(2):56.
3. Al-Zaben N, Onik MMH, Yang J, Lee NY, Kim CS. General Data Protection Regulation Complied Blockchain Architecture for Personally Identifiable Information Management. 2018 International Conference on Computing, Electronics & Communications Engineering (iCCECE), 2018, 77-82.
4. Azaria A, Ekblaw A, Vieira T, Lippman A. MedRec: Using Blockchain for Medical Data Access and Permission Management. 2nd International Conference on Open and Big Data (OBD), 2016, 25-30.
5. Azaria A, Ekblaw A, Vieira T, Lippman A. MedRec: Using Blockchain for Medical Data Access and Permission Management. 2nd International Conference on Open and Big Data (OBD), 2016, 25-30.
6. Beinke JH, Fitte C, Teuteberg F, Heinze T. Towards a Stakeholder-Oriented Blockchain-Based Architecture for Electronic Health Records: Design Science Research Study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. 2019; 21(10):e13585.
7. Benchoufi M, Ravaud P. Blockchain Technology for Improving Clinical Research Quality. *Trials*. 2017; 18(1):335.
8. Bentov I, Lee C, Mizrahi A, Rosenfeld M. Proof of Activity: Extending Bitcoin's Proof of Work via Proof of Stake. *ACM SIGMETRICS Performance Evaluation Review*. 2016; 42(3):34-37.
9. Bocek T, Rodrigues BB, Strasser T, Stiller B. Blockchains Everywhere - A Use-Case of Blockchains in the Pharma Supply-Chain. *IFIP/IEEE Symposium on Integrated Network and Service Management (IM)*, 2017, 772-777.
10. Buterin V. On Public and Private Blockchains. *Ethereum Blog*, 2015, <https://blog.ethereum.org/2015/08/07/on-public-and-private-blockchains/>
11. Chen G, Xu B, Lu M, Chen NS. Exploring Blockchain Technology and its Potential Applications for Education. *Smart Learning Environments*. 2018; 5(1):1.
12. Christidis K, Devetsikiotis M. Blockchains and Smart Contracts for the Internet of Things. *IEEE Access*. 2016; 4:2292-2303.
13. Cichosz SL, Stausholm MN, Kronborg T, Vestergaard P, Hejlesen O. How to Use Blockchain for Diabetes Health Care Data and Access Management: An Operational Concept. *Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology*. 2018; 13(2):248-253.
14. Clauson KA, Breeden EA, Davidson C, Mackey TK. Leveraging Blockchain Technology to Enhance Supply Chain Management in Healthcare. *Blockchain in Healthcare Today*. 2018; 1:1-12.
15. Dubovitskaya A, Xu Z, Ryu S, Schumacher M, Wang F. Secure and Trustable Electronic Medical Records Sharing Using Blockchain. *AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings*. 2017, 650-659.
16. Ekblaw A, Azaria A, Halamka JD, Lippman A. A Case Study for Blockchain in Healthcare: "MedRec" Prototype for Electronic Health Records and Medical Research Data. *IEEE Open & Big Data Conference*. 2016; 13:13.
17. Elsdon C, Manohar A, Briggs J, Harding M, Speed C, Vines J. Making Sense of Blockchain Applications: A Typology for HCI. *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2018, 1-14.
18. Esposito C, De Santis A, Tortora G, Chang H, Choo KKR. Blockchain: A Panacea for Healthcare Cloud-Based Data Security and Privacy? *IEEE Cloud Computing*. 2018; 5(1):31-37.
19. Eyal I, Gencer AE, Sirer EG, Van Renesse R. Bitcoin-NG: A Scalable Blockchain Protocol. *13th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI '16)*, 2016, 45-59.
20. Fastag J, Lapointe JF, Liu S, Nicolescu R, Voss C. Blockchain and the Internet of Medical Things: An Industry View. *Blockchain in Healthcare Today*. 2018; 1:1-9.
21. Garg C, Bansal A, Padappayil RP. Blockchain-Based Smart Contracts for Healthcare Interoperability: Opportunities and Challenges. 2019 International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Knowledge Economy (ICCIKE), 2019, 132-137.
22. Genestier P, Zouarhi S, Limeux P, Excoffier D, Prola A, Sandon S, Temerson JM. Blockchain for Consent Management in the eHealth Environment: A Nugget for Privacy and Security Challenges. *Journal of the International Society for Telemedicine and eHealth*. 2017; 5:24-1.
23. Gordon WJ, Catalini C. Blockchain Technology for Healthcare: Facilitating the Transition to Patient-Driven Interoperability. *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal*. 2018; 16:224-230.
24. Halamka JD, Lippman A, Ekblaw A. The Potential for Blockchain to Transform Electronic Health Records. *Harvard Business Review*, 2019.
25. Hölbl M, Kompara M, Kamišalić A, Nemeč Zlatolas L. A Systematic Review of the Use of Blockchain in Healthcare. *Symmetry*. 2018; 10(10):470.
26. Jayachandran P. The Difference Between Public and Private Blockchain. *IBM Blog*, 2017, <https://www.ibm.com/blogs/blockchain/2017/05/the-difference-between-public-and-private-blockchain/>
27. Johnson B, Lincke SJ, Imhof R, Lim C. A Comparison of International Information Security Regulations. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Information, Knowledge, and Management*. 2014; 9:89-116.
28. Khezr S, Moniruzzaman M, Yassine A, Benlamri R. Blockchain Technology in Healthcare: A Comprehensive Review and Directions for Future Research. *Applied Sciences*. 2019; 9(9):1736.
29. Kshetri N. Blockchain's Roles in Meeting Key Supply Chain Management Objectives. *International Journal of Information Management*. 2018; 39:80-89.
30. Kuo TT, Kim HE, Ohno-Machado L. Blockchain Distributed Ledger Technologies for Biomedical and Health Care Applications. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 2017; 24(6):1211-1220.
31. Lee J, Pilkington M. How the Blockchain Revolution Will Reshape the Consumer Electronics Industry. *IEEE Consumer Electronics Magazine*. 2017; 6(3):19-23.

32. Mackey TK, Kuo TT, Gummadi B, Clauson KA, Church G, Grishin D, Obbad K, Barkovich R, Palombini M. 'Fit-for-Purpose?' – Challenges and Opportunities for Applications of Blockchain Technology in the Future of Healthcare. *BMC Medicine*. 2019; 17(1):68.
33. Mamoshina P, Ojomoko L, Yanovich Y, Ostrovski A, Botezatu A, Prikhodko P, Izumchenko E, Aliper A, Romantsov K, Zhebrak A, Ogu IO, Zhavoronkov A. Converging Blockchain and Next-Generation Artificial Intelligence Technologies to Decentralize and Accelerate Biomedical Research and Healthcare. *Oncotarget*. 2018; 9(5):5665-5690.
34. Mayer AH, da Costa CA, Righi RDR. Electronic Health Records in a Blockchain: A Systematic Review. *Health Informatics Journal*. 2019; 26(2):1273-1288.
35. McGhin T, Choo KKR, Liu CZ, He D. Blockchain in Healthcare Applications: Research Challenges and Opportunities. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*. 2019; 135:62-75.
36. Mettler M. Blockchain Technology in Healthcare: The Revolution Starts Here. 2016 IEEE 18th International Conference on e-Health Networking, Applications and Services (Healthcom), 2016, 1-3.
37. Millard C. Blockchain and Law: Incompatible Codes? *Computer Law & Security Review*. 2018; 34(4):843-846.
38. Nakamoto S. Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System, 2008, <https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf>
39. Nguyen GT, Kim K. A Survey about Consensus Algorithms Used in Blockchain. *Journal of Information Processing Systems*. 2018; 14(1):101-128.
40. Nugent T, Upton D, Cimpoesu M. Improving Data Transparency in Clinical Trials Using Blockchain Smart Contracts. *F1000Research*, 2016, 5.
41. Pongnumkul S, Siripanpornchana C, Thajchayapong S. Performance Analysis of Private Blockchain Platforms in Varying Workloads. 2017 26th International Conference on Computer Communication and Networks (ICCCN), 2017, 1-6.
42. Shae Z, Tsai JJP. On the Design of a Blockchain Platform for Clinical Trial and Precision Medicine. In: 2017 IEEE 37th International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems (ICDCS); 2017:1972-1980.
43. Smith A, Anderson J. AI, Robotics, and the Future of Jobs. *Pew Research Center*. 2014;6.
44. Swan M. *Blockchain: Blueprint for a New Economy*. O'Reilly Media, Inc. 2015.
45. Szabo N. Formalizing and Securing Relationships on Public Networks. *First Monday*. 1997;2(9).
46. Truby J. Decarbonizing Bitcoin: Law and Policy Choices for Reducing the Energy Consumption of Blockchain Technologies and Digital Currencies. *Energy Research & Social Science*. 2018;44:399-410.
47. Underwood S. Blockchain beyond Bitcoin. *Communications of the ACM*. 2016;59(11):15-17.
48. Vukolić M. The Quest for Scalable Blockchain Fabric: Proof-of-Work vs. BFT Replication. In: *International Workshop on Open Problems in Network Security*; 2015:112-125.
49. Wang I, Aung TT, Deng H. Security Analysis of a Blockchain-based Electronic Medical Record System. In: 2018 IEEE International Conference on Internet of Things (iThings) and IEEE Green Computing and Communications (GreenCom) and IEEE Cyber, Physical and Social Computing (CPSCom) and IEEE Smart Data (SmartData); 2018:602-607.
50. Williams C, Chaturvedi R. Blockchain-Based Model for Validating Medical Insurance for International Patients. In: 2018 IEEE International Conference on Advanced Networks and Telecommunications Systems (ANTS); 2018:1-4.
51. Wong MC, Yee KC, Nøhr C. Socio-Technical Considerations for the Use of Blockchain Technology in Healthcare. *Studies in Health Technology and Informatics*. 2018;247:636-640.
52. Xia Q, Sifah EB, Asamoah KO, Gao J, Du X, Guizani M. MeDShare: Trust-Less Medical Data Sharing Among Cloud Service Providers via Blockchain. *IEEE Access*. 2017;5:14757-14767.
53. Xu X, Weber I, Staples M, Zhu L, Bosch J, Bass L, Pautasso C, Rimba P. A Taxonomy of Blockchain-Based Systems for Architecture Design. In: *IEEE International Conference on Software Architecture (ICSA)*; 2017:243-252.
54. Zhang P, Walker MA, White J, Schmidt DC, Lenz G. Metrics for Assessing Blockchain-Based Healthcare Decentralized Apps. In: 2017 IEEE 19th International Conference on e-Health Networking, Applications and Services (Healthcom); 2017:1-4.
55. Zheng Z, Xie S, Dai H, Chen X, Wang H. An Overview of Blockchain Technology: Architecture, Consensus, and Future Trends. In: *IEEE 6th International Congress on Big Data (BigData Congress)*; 2017:557-564.