

International Journal of Social Science Exceptional Research

A Conceptual Framework for Blended Learning and Phonics Integration for Learners with Language Delays

Ginikachi Prisca Ifenatuora ^{1*}, Olanrewaju Awoyemi ², Fadeke Adeola Atobatele ³

¹ Rose of Sharon College Lagos State, Nigeria

² Launchforth Group of Schools, Matogun, Lagos, Nigeria

³ Independent Researcher, Nigeria

* Corresponding Author: **Ginikachi Prisca Ifenatuora**

Article Info

ISSN (online): 2583-8261

Volume: 01

Issue: 02

March-April 2022

Received: 04-03-2022;

Accepted: 05-04-2022

Page No: 118-124

Abstract

This paper explores the integration of blended learning and phonics instruction as a comprehensive framework to support learners with language delays. Blended learning, which combines face-to-face and digital instruction, offers flexible, personalized learning pathways that cater to the diverse needs of learners with language delays. The paper examines key theories, including Piaget's cognitive development theory and Vygotsky's socio-cultural theory, highlighting the importance of social interaction, scaffolding, and individualized support in the learning process. Additionally, it discusses how phonics instruction, particularly when integrated with adaptive technologies, can enhance early literacy development by providing multisensory learning experiences that engage auditory, visual, and kinesthetic pathways. The conceptual framework proposed in this paper offers practical insights for educators to create inclusive learning environments that cater to learners' unique needs through differentiated content, collaborative learning, and continuous assessment. The paper concludes with recommendations for future research, emphasizing the need for further investigation into the long-term effectiveness of blended learning models, the role of technology in language development, and the implementation of these approaches in diverse educational contexts.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54660/IJSSER.2022.1.2.118-124>

Keywords: Blended Learning, Phonics Instruction, Language Delays, Cognitive Development, Educational Technology, Personalized Learning

1. Introduction

1.1 Background of the Study

Blended learning is an instructional approach that combines traditional face-to-face teaching with online learning. This method allows for more flexible, personalized learning experiences. In recent years, blended learning has gained widespread recognition for its ability to address diverse learning needs, particularly in the context of language development ^[1]. For learners with language delays, who may struggle with acquiring language skills in a traditional classroom, blended learning offers the opportunity to integrate individualized instruction and interactive digital tools that support literacy development. By blending different modes of learning, educators can better accommodate the diverse needs of these learners, providing them with personalized instruction and allowing for repeated practice, a key factor in language acquisition ^[2].

Phonics instruction is crucial for developing foundational reading skills, especially for learners with language delays. By incorporating technology and interactive media, blended learning environments can effectively enhance phonics instruction, allowing for multisensory engagement. Research indicates that learners with language delays often benefit from explicit phonics teaching that is delivered in engaging, interactive formats ^[3].

The integration of phonics within blended learning not only addresses language gaps but also provides opportunities for these learners to engage with content at their own pace, reducing the frustration often associated with traditional methods of language instruction [4]. The blending of digital tools with phonics instruction also aligns with the needs of a generation of learners who are growing up in a highly digital world. These tools, which can range from phonics apps to interactive games, allow for greater engagement and provide immediate feedback, which is critical for learners with language delays [3]. The ability to track progress and provide real-time adjustments ensures that learners remain on the right path, thus making phonics integration an essential aspect of blended learning environments for language delay intervention [5].

1.2 Problem Statement

Learners with language delays often face significant challenges in traditional learning environments, where instructional methods may not cater to their unique needs. These challenges include difficulty in processing and understanding spoken language, struggling with vocabulary development, and delays in acquiring foundational literacy skills like reading and writing. In a conventional classroom, where instruction is typically designed for learners at or near grade level, students with language delays often feel left behind, leading to decreased academic performance and increased frustration.

One of the core issues faced by these learners is the lack of individualized attention and instruction. Traditional classrooms, while beneficial for many students, tend to follow a one-size-fits-all model that does not accommodate the slower pace at which learners with language delays develop language skills. Additionally, traditional methods of phonics instruction may not provide the level of repetition or multimodal engagement necessary for these students to grasp phonetic concepts fully. This lack of differentiation often results in disengagement, with these learners falling further behind their peers and developing negative attitudes toward learning.

In addressing these issues, it becomes clear that there is a need for educational approaches that cater specifically to the needs of learners with language delays. Blended learning offers a potential solution by combining the best aspects of both traditional and digital learning methods. It enables more targeted, individualized instruction, allowing learners to receive the support they need in a way that is more flexible and responsive to their specific learning challenges. However, despite the promise of blended learning, there is limited research on how phonics instruction can be effectively integrated into this framework for learners with language delays, which this paper seeks to explore.

1.3 Purpose and Objectives

The purpose of this paper is to propose a conceptual framework for integrating blended learning and phonics instruction to support learners with language delays. By examining the potential of blended learning as an educational tool, this study aims to demonstrate how it can be tailored to meet the specific needs of these learners. The paper will outline the theoretical and practical foundations of this integration, with a focus on how phonics, a critical aspect of early literacy development, can be taught more effectively

through digital platforms and in combination with traditional methods.

The primary objective of this study is to provide a structured approach to phonics instruction within a blended learning environment, offering insights into how technology and traditional teaching can be fused to enhance learning outcomes for students with language delays. In doing so, this paper seeks to fill a gap in the literature regarding the application of blended learning in special education contexts, particularly with a focus on language delays. It will also explore the role of phonics in language development and how this instructional method can be effectively delivered through blended learning.

Additionally, this paper aims to explore the broader implications of this approach for educators and policymakers. By identifying best practices for blended learning and phonics integration, the paper will offer practical recommendations for implementing this framework in classrooms. Furthermore, the study will highlight the need for future research into the effectiveness of this integration, encouraging further exploration of how blended learning can be leveraged to support learners with language delays in diverse educational settings.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Blended Learning

Blended learning is an educational approach that combines face-to-face classroom instruction with online learning activities. This hybrid model provides students with the flexibility to engage with content both in physical and digital environments, leveraging the strengths of each mode [6]. The key characteristic of blended learning is its adaptability to diverse learning needs, allowing students to progress at their own pace, access resources outside of classroom hours, and engage in interactive, multimedia learning experiences. By integrating technology into the classroom, blended learning fosters greater student engagement and motivation, particularly for those who may struggle in traditional learning settings [5].

The benefits of blended learning are numerous, particularly in terms of personalized learning. Learners can access a variety of digital tools, including learning management systems, apps, and educational videos, which cater to different learning styles. For students with language delays, these tools allow for repetitive, tailored practice, which can be crucial in developing foundational literacy skills such as phonics. Blended learning also promotes the development of self-regulation skills, as students become more accustomed to managing their own learning through digital platforms. Furthermore, blended learning environments offer a wealth of resources for teachers, enabling them to monitor student progress in real-time and adjust instructional strategies accordingly, ensuring that learners receive the support they need [7].

Another notable benefit of blended learning is its potential to bridge the gap between different levels of language proficiency. In traditional classrooms, learners with language delays may feel isolated or left behind if the pace of instruction is too fast. Blended learning allows these students to revisit material as needed while providing access to interactive lessons that can reinforce key language skills. Research has shown that this approach can lead to improved learning outcomes, as students are able to engage with

content more effectively and receive immediate feedback, which is vital for language development ^[8].

2.2 Phonics Instruction

Phonics instruction plays a critical role in the development of early literacy skills, particularly for learners with language delays. Phonics involves teaching the relationships between sounds and letters, helping learners decode words and develop reading fluency. For children with language delays, phonics instruction is especially important as it offers a systematic way to connect spoken language with written symbols, promoting better comprehension and retention. The process of learning phonics can be challenging for these students, as they may struggle with sound recognition or connecting letters with sounds, which are foundational elements of language acquisition ^[9].

Research has consistently shown that explicit and systematic phonics instruction is highly effective in improving the reading abilities of learners, particularly those who experience delays in language development. For learners with language delays, phonics instruction provides a structured and repetitive approach that can help reinforce the connection between sounds and letters, addressing some of the core challenges these students face ^[10]. Phonics programs often incorporate visual, auditory, and kinesthetic learning strategies, which are particularly beneficial for students who struggle with language processing. These multisensory methods ensure that learners engage with the material in different ways, increasing their chances of mastering phonics concepts ^[11].

The integration of phonics instruction into a blended learning environment can further enhance its effectiveness for learners with language delays. Digital tools that offer interactive phonics games, apps, and software programs allow students to practice phonics at their own pace, receiving immediate feedback and reinforcement. These tools often provide visual and auditory cues, catering to different learning styles and ensuring that learners remain engaged. By combining the benefits of technology with traditional phonics teaching methods, blended learning environments can offer a more personalized and flexible approach to literacy development for students with language delays ^[12].

2.3 Previous Research on Language Delays

Language delays refer to the slower-than-expected acquisition of language skills, often observed in children who have difficulty with speech, vocabulary development, or comprehension. Numerous studies have examined the impact of language delays on academic performance, particularly in the context of early literacy. Research has shown that children with language delays are at higher risk for academic difficulties, particularly in areas like reading and writing. Without early intervention, these delays can lead to long-term challenges in both academic and social domains. Early identification and targeted intervention are crucial for minimizing the negative impact of language delays ^[13].

Several intervention strategies have been proposed to support learners with language delays, with varying degrees of success. One widely recognized approach is the use of explicit, structured phonics instruction, which has been shown to improve reading outcomes for children with language delays ^[14]. Studies have also explored the use of individualized education plans (IEPs) to tailor instruction to

the specific needs of learners, ensuring that they receive the appropriate support at the right level. Additionally, researchers have highlighted the importance of creating a supportive and inclusive classroom environment, where teachers use varied teaching strategies and materials to engage students with different learning needs ^[15].

Blended learning has emerged as a promising intervention for addressing language delays. Studies have shown that digital tools and online resources can be particularly effective in supporting students with language difficulties, as they provide opportunities for personalized learning and practice. For example, computer-based phonics programs that offer repeated practice and immediate feedback have been found to be beneficial for learners with language delays ^[16]. The flexibility of blended learning allows for individualized pacing, ensuring that learners can revisit concepts until they achieve mastery. Overall, research supports the notion that blended learning, when integrated with targeted interventions like phonics instruction, can be an effective strategy for improving literacy outcomes for learners with language delays ^[17].

3. Theoretical Framework

3.1 Cognitive Development Theories

Cognitive development theories provide valuable insights into how learners acquire language and process information. Jean Piaget's theory of cognitive development emphasizes stages of learning, with the most relevant being the preoperational stage, where children begin to use language to represent objects and ideas ^[18]. Piaget believed that learners actively construct their understanding through interactions with the environment, and language is a tool for cognitive development. For learners with language delays, Piaget's theory suggests that they may be in earlier stages of cognitive development, meaning their language acquisition might require more targeted interventions to bridge gaps and facilitate growth ^[19].

Vygotsky's socio-cultural theory, on the other hand, emphasizes the role of social interactions and cultural context in learning. According to Vygotsky, cognitive development is deeply influenced by social interactions and language, with the concept of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) being central. This zone refers to the gap between what a learner can do independently and what they can do with assistance ^[20]. For learners with language delays, Vygotsky's theory suggests that blended learning can be particularly effective, as it allows for collaborative interactions, guided learning, and scaffolding, which are all crucial for cognitive and language development. Blended learning environments offer opportunities for both independent practice and guided social interactions, making them an ideal space for learners to bridge their language gaps ^[21].

3.2 Learning Models in Blended Learning

Blended learning is underpinned by various learning models that can guide its application in educational settings, especially for learners with language delays. One such model is the Community of Inquiry (CoI), which emphasizes the importance of social, cognitive, and teaching presence in creating a meaningful learning experience ^[22]. The CoI framework advocates for a balance between these three presences, with social presence ensuring that students feel connected and supported, cognitive presence focusing on the

development of critical thinking, and teaching presence guiding learners toward achieving educational goals. For learners with language delays, this model highlights the significance of fostering a supportive online and in-person learning community where both educators and peers collaborate to help students overcome learning challenges^[23]. Another widely recognized model in blended learning is the SAMR (Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, and Redefinition) model, which focuses on how technology can enhance learning experiences. The SAMR model outlines a progression from basic technological integration (Substitution) to transformative learning experiences (Redefinition)^[24]. For learners with language delays, the SAMR model offers a framework to move beyond traditional phonics instruction by incorporating innovative technologies that engage students through interactive activities, games, and apps. This model encourages educators to use digital tools not just as substitutes for traditional teaching methods, but as transformative instruments that enhance and expand learning opportunities, offering students with language delays multiple ways to practice and reinforce phonics in a personalized manner^[25].

3.3 Phonics and Neurodevelopment

The process of learning phonics is deeply tied to neurodevelopment, particularly in the areas of auditory processing, memory, and language recognition. Phonics instruction helps to create neural connections between sounds and letters, facilitating the decoding process that is essential for reading. For learners with language delays, these neural pathways may not develop as quickly or as efficiently, making explicit and systematic phonics instruction crucial^[26, 27]. Neuroimaging studies have shown that phonics instruction activates specific regions of the brain responsible for language processing, and for children with language delays, these areas may require more focused stimulation to achieve optimal development^[28].

Moreover, research suggests that early phonics instruction can lead to long-term changes in the brain, improving areas such as working memory and auditory discrimination, which are vital for language development. For learners with language delays, this neurodevelopmental perspective highlights the importance of targeted, multisensory phonics programs that engage both visual and auditory pathways^[15]. Blended learning environments, which often incorporate technology like phonics apps and interactive programs, offer additional opportunities for neuroplasticity by providing repetitive practice and immediate feedback, which helps solidify these neural connections^[29, 30]. By aligning phonics instruction with an understanding of neurodevelopment, educators can better support learners with language delays, ensuring that they are not only acquiring literacy skills but also strengthening the cognitive pathways that underpin those skills^[31].

4. Conceptual Framework for Blended Learning and Phonics Integration

4.1 Blended Learning Approaches

Blended learning approaches offer an ideal platform for delivering phonics instruction, particularly for learners who require personalized support, such as those with language delays. A key aspect of blended learning is the combination of face-to-face and online learning, allowing for flexibility

and individualized instruction^[32]. In the context of phonics instruction, this means that students can benefit from direct, teacher-guided lessons while also engaging with phonics-related tasks and activities through digital platforms outside of class. For example, a classroom session might introduce phonics concepts such as sound-letter correspondences, followed by online practice where students work through exercises at their own pace, reviewing concepts they find challenging^[33].

To ensure effective implementation, it is essential to integrate various forms of media within the blended learning framework. Video lessons, interactive games, and mobile apps can offer diverse methods of engaging students with phonics practice, catering to different learning styles. For learners with language delays, this multimodal approach—combining auditory, visual, and kinesthetic elements—ensures that the phonics concepts are reinforced through repetition and varied stimuli^[34]. Teachers can monitor students' progress through digital platforms, providing instant feedback and adjusting the difficulty level of exercises to match students' evolving skills. The flexibility inherent in blended learning allows for more focused intervention for learners who need additional support, ensuring they are not left behind in the learning process^[35].

4.2 Phonics Integration Strategies

Integrating phonics with technology provides numerous opportunities for students to learn and practice reading skills in engaging ways. One effective strategy is the use of adaptive learning technologies that personalize phonics instruction based on a student's proficiency level^[36, 37]. These platforms adjust the difficulty of exercises in real-time, ensuring that learners with language delays are not overwhelmed but are continually challenged as they progress. For example, a digital phonics program may begin by teaching basic sound-letter relationships and gradually introduce more complex tasks, such as word decoding and sentence reading. By personalizing the learning experience, students are more likely to stay motivated and engaged, receiving the necessary support without feeling frustrated^[38]. Interactive phonics games and apps can also enhance the integration of phonics instruction by providing immediate feedback, a critical component for learners with language delays. These platforms often include visual and auditory cues, reinforcing the connection between sounds and letters through multisensory input. Additionally, learners can revisit lessons as needed, ensuring that they have ample opportunity to reinforce their understanding of phonics concepts^[39]. The ability to track progress within these platforms allows teachers to identify areas where students are struggling and adjust instruction accordingly, ensuring that no student is left behind. By integrating phonics instruction with technology in this way, learners with language delays are given the tools to succeed at their own pace, with a clear focus on individualized learning paths that adapt to their needs^[40].

4.3 Adaptations for Learners With Language Delays

In a blended learning environment, learners with language delays require specific adaptations to ensure they can access and benefit from phonics instruction. One key modification is the use of differentiated content, where phonics lessons are tailored to meet the individual needs of students^[41]. For instance, learners with significant delays may need more repetition of basic concepts, such as letter-sound

correspondence, while others may require more advanced tasks such as word blending or sentence decoding^[42, 43]. This differentiated instruction can be facilitated through both face-to-face teaching and digital resources, ensuring that each student progresses at their own pace and receives the level of support necessary to succeed^[44].

Additionally, accommodations such as extended time for tasks, reduced distractions, and the use of assistive technologies can support learners with language delays. For example, students may benefit from audio support that reads phonics exercises aloud, helping them overcome challenges with reading comprehension. Visual aids, such as color-coded letters or interactive, touch-screen devices, can also be utilized to reinforce learning and enhance student engagement^[45].

Furthermore, collaborative learning experiences within the blended environment can offer additional support, as learners work together in both online and offline settings, encouraging peer-to-peer interaction and communication^[46, 47]. These adaptations not only ensure that learners with language delays can engage with phonics instruction but also create a more inclusive and supportive learning environment where every student can thrive. By carefully considering the individual needs of these learners, educators can help them build essential literacy skills within a blended learning framework that fosters both independence and collaboration^[48].

5. Conclusion

This paper has explored the integration of blended learning with phonics instruction as a means of supporting learners with language delays. The key finding from the literature and theoretical framework is that blended learning offers a flexible and personalized approach to education, allowing students to engage with content both in traditional and digital settings. For learners with language delays, this flexibility is crucial, as it provides the opportunity for individualized pacing, repeated practice, and immediate feedback, all of which are vital for language acquisition. The review of cognitive development theories, particularly Piaget's and Vygotsky's models, underlines the importance of social interaction and scaffolding in learning, both of which can be effectively supported in a blended learning environment.

Furthermore, phonics instruction is foundational for early literacy development, especially for learners with language delays. The integration of phonics with digital tools allows for multisensory learning experiences that reinforce sound-letter correspondences, a critical aspect of language acquisition.

The application of adaptive learning technologies, which personalize the phonics learning experience based on individual student needs, ensures that learners are consistently challenged at the appropriate level. The research suggests that when phonics instruction is combined with the flexibility of blended learning, it significantly enhances the language skills of students with delays, offering a more effective and engaging pathway to literacy development.

The conceptual framework presented in this paper has several practical implications for educators working with learners who have language delays. First, it suggests that teachers should consider incorporating both traditional and digital resources to create a rich, dynamic learning environment. By integrating phonics instruction into blended learning,

educators can offer more individualized learning opportunities, ensuring that each student progresses at their own pace and receives targeted support when needed. Teachers can use digital platforms to track student progress and identify specific areas where intervention is necessary, while also offering face-to-face sessions that focus on reinforcing difficult concepts.

Additionally, the framework encourages the use of differentiated instruction, ensuring that learners with varying degrees of language delays receive the support they require. This can be achieved by adjusting the content, pacing, and complexity of phonics lessons to meet individual needs. Collaborative learning opportunities, where students can work together in both digital and physical environments, are also crucial for promoting social interaction and language development. By providing a supportive and flexible learning environment, educators can better meet the needs of students with language delays and help them achieve academic success.

While this paper has highlighted the potential of blended learning and phonics integration for supporting learners with language delays, further research is needed to understand the long-term effectiveness of these strategies fully. Future studies could explore the impact of specific digital tools and apps on language development, assessing which resources are most effective for learners with varying types of language delays. Additionally, research should examine the role of teacher training in implementing blended learning environments. It is essential to understand how educators can be best supported in using technology to enhance phonics instruction and create personalized learning experiences for students with language delays.

Another area for future research is the exploration of how blended learning can be scaled and adapted in diverse educational settings, particularly in under-resourced schools. Investigating the challenges and opportunities associated with the implementation of blended learning in such contexts can provide valuable insights into the feasibility of these approaches for a broader population of learners. Moreover, research could focus on the long-term effects of blended learning and phonics integration, particularly in terms of academic achievement, social-emotional development, and sustained language growth for learners with delays. Understanding these outcomes will help refine blended learning strategies and make them more accessible and effective for all students.

6. References

1. Powell A, Patrick S, Roberts V. *Blending Learning: The Evolution of Online and Face-to-Face Education from 2008-2015. Promising Practices in Blended and Online Learning Series. International Association for K-12 Online Learning; 2015.*
2. Singh J, Steele K, Singh L. *Combining the best of online and face-to-face learning: Hybrid and blended learning approach for COVID-19, post vaccine, & post-pandemic world. J Educ Technol Syst. 2021;50(2):140-71.*
3. Haggerty NK. *Examining the Online Implementation of the Evidence-Based Sound Partners Reading Intervention on a Measure of Phonics Skills of Kindergarten and First Grade Students with Reading Difficulties [dissertation]. University of Hawai'i at Manoa; 2022.*

4. Bhadri GN, Patil LR. Blended learning: An effective approach for online teaching and learning. *J Eng Educ Transform.* 2022;53-60.
5. Atwa H, Shehata MH, Al-Ansari A, *et al.* Online, face-to-face, or blended learning? Faculty and medical students' perceptions during the COVID-19 pandemic: a mixed-method study. *Front Med.* 2022;9:791352.
6. Rao V. Blended learning: a new hybrid teaching methodology. *Online Submiss.* 2019;3(13).
7. Ho VT, Nakamori Y, Ho TB, Lim CP. Blended learning model on hands-on approach for in-service secondary school teachers: Combination of E-learning and face-to-face discussion. *Educ Inf Technol.* 2016;21:185-208.
8. Dangwal KL. Blended learning: An innovative approach. *Univers J Educ Res.* 2017;5(1):129-36.
9. Islam MK, Sarker MFH, Islam MS. Promoting student-centred blended learning in higher education: A model. *E-Learn Digit Media.* 2022;19(1):36-54.
10. Bryan A, Volchenkova KN. Blended learning: definition, models, implications for higher education. *Bull South Ural State Univ Ser Educ Pedagog Sci.* 2016;8(2):24-30.
11. Sahni J. Does blended learning enhance student engagement? Evidence from higher education. *J E-Learn High Educ.* 2019;2019:1-14.
12. Watkins CP. Can technology facilitate reading acquisition?: developing applications for phonics learning and dyslexia screening [dissertation]. *Université Paris Cité;* 2021.
13. Schmitt MB, Logan JA, Tambyraja SR, Farquharson K, Justice LM. Establishing language benchmarks for children with typically developing language and children with language impairment. *J Speech Lang Hear Res.* 2017;60(2):364-78.
14. Al Otaiba S, Allor JH, Stewart J. Structured literacy interventions for phonemic awareness and basic word recognition skills. In: *Structured literacy interventions: Teaching students with reading difficulties, grades K-6.* 2022:23.
15. Dilgard C, Hodges TS, Coleman J. Phonics instruction in early literacy: Examining professional learning, instructional resources, and intervention intensity. *Read Psychol.* 2022;43(8):541-75.
16. Topping KJ, Douglas W, Robertson D, Ferguson N. Effectiveness of online and blended learning from schools: A systematic review. *Rev Educ.* 2022;10(2):e3353.
17. Zhou T, Zhang W. Effectiveness study on online or blended language learning based on student achievement: A systematic review of empirical studies. *Sustainability.* 2022;14(12):7303.
18. Bormanaki HB, Khoshhal Y. The role of equilibration in Piaget's theory of cognitive development and its implication for receptive skills: A theoretical study. *J Lang Teach Res.* 2017;8(5):996-1005.
19. Main P. Jean Piaget's theory of cognitive development. *Structural Learning.* 2021. Available from: <https://www.structural-learning.com/post/jean-piagets-theory-of-cognitive-development>.
20. Pathan H, Memon RA, Memon S, Khoso AR, Bux I. A critical review of Vygotsky's socio-cultural theory in second language acquisition. *Int J Engl Linguist.* 2018;8(4):232.
21. Topçiu M, Myftiu J. Vygotsky theory on social interaction and its influence on the development of pre-school children. *Eur J Soc Sci Educ Res.* 2015;2(3):103-10.
22. Lakhal S, Meyer F. Blended learning. In: *Encyclopedia of education and information technologies.* 2020:234-40.
23. Zavaraki E, Schneider D. Blended Learning Approach for Students with Special Educational Needs: A Systematic. *J Educ Soc Policy.* 2019;6(3):1-12.
24. Hamilton ER, Rosenberg JM, Akcaoglu M. The substitution augmentation modification redefinition (SAMR) model: A critical review and suggestions for its use. *TechTrends.* 2016;60:433-41.
25. Reed LO. Transformative Literacy Practices: Preservice Teachers' Perceptions of Knowledge, Skills, and Self-Efficacy About Literacy Instruction for Students with Language-Based Disabilities [dissertation]. *Robert Morris University;* 2021.
26. Chukwuma-Eke EC, Ogunsola OY, Isibor NJ. A conceptual framework for financial optimization and budget management in large-scale energy projects. *Int J Multidiscip Res Growth Eval.* 2022;2(1):823-34.
27. Onoja JP, Ajala OA. Innovative telecommunications strategies for bridging digital inequities: A framework for empowering underserved communities. *GSC Adv Res Rev.* 2022;13(1):210-7.
28. Ehri LC. The science of learning to read words: A case for systematic phonics instruction. *Read Res Q.* 2020;55:S45-S60.
29. Mayienga BA, *et al.* Studying the transformation of consumer retail experience through virtual reality technologies. [In press].
30. Oyeyipo I, *et al.* A Conceptual Framework for Transforming Corporate Finance Through Strategic Growth, Profitability, and Risk Optimization. [In press].
31. Law J, Charlton J, Dockrell J, Gascoigne M, McKean C, Theakston A. *Early Language Development: Needs, provision, and intervention for preschool children from socio-economically disadvantage backgrounds.* London: Education Endowment Foundation; 2017:204.
32. Rafiq S, Commendation S. Perceptions of phonics literacy strategies in Mobile Assisted Language Learning (MALL) for non literate learners. *British Council.* Manchester Metropolitan University; 2020.
33. Kazakoff ER, Macaruso P, Hook P. Efficacy of a blended learning approach to elementary school reading instruction for students who are English Learners. *Educ Technol Res Dev.* 2018;66:429-49.
34. Mirriahi N, Alonzo D, Fox B. A blended learning framework for curriculum design and professional development. *Res Learn Technol.* 2015;23.
35. Kumar A, *et al.* Blended learning tools and practices: A comprehensive analysis. *IEEE Access.* 2021;9:85151-197.
36. Attipoe V, Oyeyipo I, Ayodeji DC, Isibor NJ, Apiyo B. Economic Impacts of Employee Well-being Programs: A Review. [In press].
37. Isibor NJ, Attipoe V, Oyeyipo I, Ayodeji DC, Apiyo B. Proposing Innovative Human Resource Policies for Enhancing Workplace Diversity and Inclusion. [In press].
38. Villesseche J, *et al.* Enhancing reading skills through adaptive e-learning. *Interact Technol Smart Educ.*

- 2019;16(1):2-17.
39. Connor CM. Using technology and assessment to personalize instruction: Preventing reading problems. *Prev Sci.* 2019;20:89-99.
 40. Darling-Hammond L. From "separate but equal" to "No Child Left Behind": The collision of new standards and old inequalities. In: *Thinking about schools.* Routledge; 2018:419-37.
 41. Shawcross TLM. Online communities of practice in the secondary music classroom: a tool for increased collaboration and peer to peer learning? [dissertation]. 2019.
 42. Alonge EO, Eyo-Udo NL, Ubanadu BC, Daraojimba AI, Balogun ED, Ogunsola KO. Integrated framework for enhancing sales enablement through advanced CRM and analytics solutions. [In press].
 43. Apelehin AA, *et al.* Reviewing the Role of Artificial Intelligence in Personalized Learning and Education. [In press].
 44. Dang MY, Zhang GY, Amer B. Social networks among students, peer TAs, and instructors and their impacts on student learning in the blended environment: A model development and testing. *Commun Assoc Inf Syst.* 2019;44(1):36.
 45. McNicholl A, Casey H, Desmond D, Gallagher P. The impact of assistive technology use for students with disabilities in higher education: a systematic review. *Disabil Rehabil Assist Technol.* 2021;16(2):130-43.
 46. Abisoye A, Akerele JI, Odio PE, Collins A, Babatunde GO, Mustapha SD. A Data-Driven Approach to Strengthening Cybersecurity Policies in Government Agencies: Best Practices and Case Studies. *Int J Cybersecur Policy Stud.* [In press].
 47. Ajala OA, Soladoye DA. Introducing the Principles of Cybersecurity, Artificial Intelligence, and IoT Applications into the STEM Curriculum to Enhance Students' Hands-on Learning, Conducting Real-World Case Studies and Project-Based Assessments is truly a Novel and Sensational Approach. [In press].
 48. Lim C, Ab Jalil H, Ma'rof A, Saad W. Peer learning, self-regulated learning and academic achievement in blended learning courses: A structural equation modeling approach. *Int J Emerg Technol Learn.* 2020;15(3):110-25.
 49. Tasleem N, Raghav RS, Gangadharan S. Gamification strategies for career development: Boosting professional growth and engagement with interactive progress tracking; 2020. ResearchGate